

Review

A Systematic Literature Review of the Empirical Research on the Promoting Mechanism of High-Level Financial Opening to Innovative Development of Manufacturing Industry

Jia-qi Wu^{1,2} and Mu Zhang^{1,*}

¹ School of Big Data Application and Economics, Guizhou University of Finance and Economics, Guiyang (550025), Guizhou, China

² Guizhou Institution for Technology Innovation & Entrepreneurship Investment, Guizhou University of Finance and Economics, Guiyang (550025), Guizhou, China

* Correspondence: zhangmu01@163.com; Tel.: +86-0851-88510575

Received: October 7, 2022; Accepted: March 15, 2023; Published: March 31, 2023

Abstract: To further enrich the research on the promotion mechanism of high-level financial openness on the innovation of the manufacturing industry, this article summarizes the domestic and foreign research on the impact mechanism of financial openness. Currently, the domestic and foreign studies are mostly based on the characteristics of different financial industries, including the role of opening-up on enterprise innovation and its influence on the bank industry; the effect of foreign direct equity participation on enterprise innovation and its influence on the securities industry; the impact of the host country on the innovation of insured companies and its influence on the insurance industry. Future research directions may include deepening research on the transmission mechanism of high-level financial openness on manufacturing innovation and expanding research methods for the impact mechanism of high-level financial openness on manufacturing innovation development.

Keywords: High-Level Financial Openness; Innovative Development of Manufacturing Industry; Promotion Mechanism; Empirical Analysis; Literature Review

1. Introduction

The manufacturing industry is an important foundation of the real economy, and a key link in the industrial chain to create value, absorb employment, and drive the development of other industries. In recent years, promoting the transformation and upgrading of the manufacturing industry, the High-quality Development has become the focus of China's economic development. In 2017, the People's Bank of China jointly issued *The Guiding Opinions on Financial Support for the Construction of a Strong Manufacturing Country*, aiming to focus on strengthening financial support for technological innovation, transformation, and upgrading of the manufacturing industry. In 2019, medium and long-term loans and credit loans for manufacturing industry began to be included in the assessment in macro-prudential assessment. In 2020, the National Development Bank set up a special loan of 250 billion RMB to support the development of China's manufacturing industry towards a more intelligent, green, globalized industrial chain and other high-end direction [1]. After the 14th Five Year Plan was proposed, all core technology industries have become main support

targets for banks. Many banks have stepped up their credit policies to favor these technology enterprises. The registration-based IPO system has enabled more growing enterprises to obtain opportunities to go public. Governments at all levels have issued bond issuance policies to meet the diversified financing needs of technological innovation enterprises. At the same time, they also guided funds to support key enterprises to develop emerging industries.

Since the Reform and Opening-up, China began to explore forward for financial opening-up, which can be roughly divided into three stages: the economic start-up period, the economic rise period, and the new period [2]. From 1978 to 2000, the financial liberalization during the economic start-up period. Before the Reform and Opening-up, China implemented a unified system in the financial industry, and in 1978, the Reform and Opening-up officially kicked off the opening-up of China's finance [3]. During this period, China's financial opening mainly involved two aspects: the opening of the financial industry and the reform of the foreign exchange system. In 2001-2016, the period of economic rise, the opening-up was also divided into two stages: in the early stage, we mainly relaxed the market entry restrictions for foreign financial institutions, to attract foreign capital to carry out business and accelerate the construction of China's financial market system; the later stage, mainly enabled the successive opening of two industries, banking and insurance. From 2017 to 2022, as China's position in the international financial market has gradually improved, the focus on finance in the new times can be concluded in four areas: opening-up the financial industry, marketizing the RMB exchange rate, internationalizing RMB, and opening the capital account. Although the capital account opening has further expanded in this period, the government still followed a gradual, prudent, and controllable path to prevent the outbreak of a systemic financial crisis.

The high level of financial openness for the manufacturing industry can improve the existing innovative capital investment, increase external financing channels, and alleviate financing constraints. Yu Bin [4] proposed that, due to the imperfect capital market system in China, the development of financial institutions serving small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), and the limited and narrow financing channels for enterprises faced many difficulties in transformation and upgrading. It caused the serious imbalance between the financial industry and the real economy. Financial liberalization is imminent. Based on empirical evidence of financial openness in other countries, scholars have analyzed the impact of liberalization on manufacturing in different financial industries. The financial services provided by the stock and bond markets have a greater role in promoting technological innovation in the manufacturing industry [5]. The improvement of the institutional level of the banking industry can increase the total amount of credit, providing more funds for enterprises to alleviate financing constraints, and promoting technological innovation activities of enterprises to a certain extent [6]. Some scholars have also turned their attention to possible problems in the process of high-level opening-up [7-9]. Financial openness also plays a positive role in promoting the reform of the supply-side structure of finance itself [10].

This paper will review domestic and foreign research on the impact of high-level financial openness on the development of the manufacturing industry innovation, and analyze the impact on the manufacturing industry from the perspective of high-level opening conditions in the banking, securities, and insurance industries. It is expected to further promote relevant empirical research. The remaining structure of this article is arranged as follows: Part 2 introduces the empirical study on the promotion mechanism of high-level opening-up in the banking industry on the innovative

development of the manufacturing industry; Part 3 introduces the research on the promotion mechanism of high-level openness of the securities industry to the innovative development of the manufacturing industry; Part 4 introduces the empirical research on the promotion mechanism of high-level openness of the insurance industry to the innovative development of the manufacturing industry; Part 5 is a brief review.

2. The Empirical Study on the Promoting Mechanism of High-Level Opening of Banking Industry on Innovative Development of Manufacturing Industry

At present, domestic and foreign related research mainly focuses on the role of opening-up of the banking industry to firms and its mechanism examination.

The direct effect of foreign bank entry, through increased competition among local banks, as well as the spillover effect it causes on enterprises, is concentrated on optimizing the allocation of credit funds and strengthening the role of debt governance. The former is manifested in easing the financing constraints of innovative enterprises [11]. While the latter is manifested in hardening the budget constraints of state-owned enterprises (SOEs) [12], encouraging them to engage more in technological innovation activities, and improve production efficiency [13, 14]. The empirical results of Bai Jun [15] show that the promotion effect of foreign bank entry on local enterprise innovation is realized through direct effects and spillover effects (increasing competition among local banks). The entry of foreign banks will enhance the efficiency of the host country's banking industry by intensifying market competition [16], and provide a better external financing environment for the innovation of host country enterprises [11]; Foreign banks' entry enhance the ability of host country banks to evaluate enterprise innovation projects through technology spillovers [17], which can provide better financial services for enterprise innovation activities [18]. The empirical analysis by Li Junqing and Xie Fang [19] shows that the entry of foreign banks can significantly increase the R&D investment of host country enterprises. Acharya and Xu [20], Blanco and Wehrheim [21], Zhu Zhujun, et al. [22] analyzed the innovation effect of foreign investment opening in the upstream banking sector on downstream firms from the financing constraint channel. Meanwhile, some scholars also take a trade perspective, along the classic competition and innovation research paradigm in industrial organization theory [23], analyzed the competitive effect, self-selection effect and market scale effect of foreign investment opening in upstream banking sector on firm innovation [24, 25]. In addition, the empirical results of Zhu Zhujun et al. [26] show that the opening-up of foreign capital in the banking industry has a significant positive impact on enterprise innovation, a significant positive impact on invention patents and utility model patents, and no significant impact on design patents. The opening-up of foreign capital in the banking industry has significantly improved indicators such as the number of patents cited, patent versatility, and originality. Mechanistically, foreign investment opening in the banking sector has a significant positive effect on enterprise innovation through a positive cost-saving effect. The total effect has an inverted U-shaped relationship with the degree of foreign bank entry and remains in the positive effect range during the sample period. The mechanism test conducted by Sheng Bin and Wang Hao [27] shows that innovation promotion channels are an important mechanism for foreign banks to enter and affect the quality of enterprises' export products. The mechanism test conducted by Yu Xulan and Ma Hanjiang [28] found that foreign banks facilitate the absorption and transformation of international knowledge of Chinese enterprises in the process of foreign trade and FDI introduction by providing convenience for cross-border information

communication, optimizing the credit term structure of enterprises, and improving the service level of the local banking industry, which contributes to the improvement of their independent innovation capabilities.

3. The Empirical Study on the Promoting Mechanism of High-Level Opening of Securities Industry on Innovative Development of Manufacturing Industry

At present, domestic and foreign research mainly focuses on the role of capital market opening, foreign direct equity participation in enterprise innovation, and its mechanism testing.

3.1. Capital Market Opening

Opening capital market can diversify investment risks, improve investment efficiency, and promote the expansion of corporate R&D investment; it can also enhance the level of corporate R&D investment by improving corporate governance and the effectiveness of external supervision [29]. Capital market opening can remove the flow barriers in domestic and foreign markets, improve capital allocation and corporate financing constraints, and beneficially promote corporate innovation [30].

From the perspective of capital inflows, Xie Fang and Guo Na [31] have demonstrated that equity capital inflows significantly increase the R&D investment of host country enterprises, while debt capital inflows have a negative impact. The empirical evidence of Moshirian et al. [32] suggests that relaxing financial restrictions, strengthening risk sharing between domestic and foreign investors, and improving corporate governance are channels to allow stock market liberalization to promote technological innovation. Xie Fang [33] empirically found that the liberalization of the stock market promotes enterprise innovation by easing financing constraints, enhancing risk sharing, and improving corporate governance.

From the qualified foreign institutional investors (QFII) perspective, Luong et al. [34] found that overseas institutional investors have a positive impact on enterprise innovation. Bena et al. [35] empirically found that foreign institutional investors have significantly improved the company's innovation output by guiding company value investment through discipline and supervision measures. Research by Jiang Wenjun [36] shows that QFII shareholding has a strong technology spillover effect, and institutional investors from countries with high innovation levels are more able to help enterprises enhance their innovation capabilities. The empirical results of Jiang Shuiquan et al. [37] show that the shareholding ratio of QFII is positively correlated with enterprise innovation investment, and the marginal effect of QFII shareholding on enterprise innovation investment is better. Liu Ye and Meng Hantong [38] found that both QFII shareholding and enhanced shareholding checks and balances can promote enterprise innovation investment; Increased environmental uncertainty will inhibit the promotion of QFII to enterprise innovation investment; QFII increases enterprise innovation investment by easing enterprise financing constraints.

From the perspective of the Shanghai Shenzhen Hong Kong Stock Connect, Ma Yanyan et al. [39] found that the land port connection is conducive to the promotion of enterprise research and development scale; The stimulation of the Stock Connect to R&D is mainly achieved by reducing credit dependence [40], improving the role of external supervision [41], and increasing the level of TFP. It can improve the level of R&D by solving the productivity paradox. Feng Ruoyang and Wen Jun [42] found that the Stock Connect system has improved the level of technological innovation of

SOEs; It can alleviate the financing constraints faced by SOEs, promote SOEs to increase their R&D investment and improve the level of technological innovation. It can provide more opportunities for long-term institutional investors to enter by improving the stock liquidity of SOEs, and improve the technological innovation level of SOEs. Qi Di [43] found that after the implementation of the Stock Connect, the target company significantly increased its R&D investment and patent output [44], improving enterprise innovation performance. Zhu Lin and Yi Zhihong [45] found that after the implementation of the Stock Connect trading system, the innovation level of the target enterprise significantly increased; Managers' professional anxiety [46, 47] plays a mediating and moderating role. The information environment of listed companies has regulatory effects. Huang Jianqiao et al. [48] found that the Stock Connect significantly improved the level of enterprise innovation output, and this effect is more significant in enterprises with a high degree of separation of ownership, low shareholding ratio of institutional investors, poor information environment, and high financing needs. Jia Lihuan and Xiao Xiang [49] found that the Stock Connect can promote high-quality development of enterprises by reducing agency costs and increasing innovation investment. Research by Sun Zeyu and Qi Baolei [50] shows that the Stock Connect system improves innovation performance by enhancing management's willingness to innovate and improving enterprise innovation capabilities. The empirical study by Liu Yang and Zang Rihong [51] shows that the implementation of the SH-HK Stock Connect trading system has a significant promoting effect on enterprise innovation, which is manifested in increasing the willingness of enterprises to innovate and improving the level of innovation input and output. Wu Yuxuan and Dong Li [52] demonstrated empirically that the Stock Connect policy can promote enterprise technological innovation by optimizing the level of corporate governance of the target enterprise and reducing the I and II types of agency costs in corporate governance [53, 54]. Based on the above research, Li Chengming et al. [55] found in their mechanism analysis that the Stock Connect can help industrial policies attract more enterprise R&D investment, and help improve the utilization efficiency of the investment. Lv Xiaojun et al. [56] found that the Stock Connect significantly promoted the quality of enterprise innovation, manifested by the gradual shift in the patent structure toward high-quality invention patents, as well as the increase in patent citation rates.

3.2. Direct Foreign Equity Participation

Yang Dewei [57] empirically shows that foreign shareholding is significantly and negatively correlated with enterprise technological innovation. Based on the research conducted by Guadalupe et al. [58], Boubakri et al. [59], and others, Li Wengui and Yu Minggui [60] argue that foreign shareholding can bring benefits such as improving corporate governance and technology spillovers for enterprises to carry out innovation activities, but the empirical test results are not significant. Based on the research conducted by Choi et al. [61] and Luong et al. [34], Zhong Xi et al. [62] empirically demonstrated that foreign shareholding will promote firms' innovation performance. Internationalization strategy plays a partially mediating role. The gap in business expectation enhances the promoting effect of foreign ownership on enterprise innovation performance, while redundant resources weaken this promoting effect. Di Lingyu et al. [63] found that foreign shareholders' equity participation significantly improves the level of R&D investment in SOEs. When the source of foreign capital is relatively developed, and foreign shareholders take strategic cooperation as the main motivation for equity participation, their equity participation has a more

significant effect on improving the level of R&D investment in SOEs.

4. The Empirical Study on the Promoting Mechanism of High-Level Opening of Insurance Industry on Innovative Development of Manufacturing Industry

At present, domestic and foreign research mainly focuses on the role of the host country's insurance industry in enterprise innovation and its mechanism testing.

In terms of technology insurance, Sun Hongtao [64] argues that the support of the U.S. intellectual property insurance for technology innovation is demonstrated by the wide range of subjects protected by intellectual property insurance [65], spreading liability and promoting the progress of patented technologies, and protecting small companies from the oppressive litigation of large companies [66]. Xu Xiaohui [67] elaborated on the approach of how technology insurance reduces technology risks from aspects such as technology personal insurance, technology property insurance, and the synchronization of insurance and management. Wang Xianglan [68] clarified that technology insurance can effectively resolve and transfer the technological risks faced by enterprises in their independent innovation activities. Ma Yanxin [69] demonstrated that growing input on insurance has a significant promoting effect on the improvement of technological innovation capabilities of industrial enterprises. Ge Yu and Wang Yuxin [70] believe that technology insurance can spread the risks of scientific and technological innovation, compensate for the losses, accumulate funds, and supervise the risks of scientific and technological innovation. Wang Lei and Gu Mengdi [71] provided a systematic theoretical framework for research on technology insurance. Tiller and Bedigian [72], Weixian Xue et al. [73] have empirically studied the impact of technology insurance on innovation and profitability of technology companies. Shen Fei et al. [74] empirically demonstrated that patent enforcement insurance enhances enterprise technological innovation by reducing technology spillover losses [75] and external financing constraints [76]. Research by Qiu Yangdong [77] shows that the incentive effect of the patent insurance pilot policy on enterprise innovation is reflected in encouraging enterprises to increase R&D investment.

In terms of director and executive liability insurance, Jensen [78] believes that director liability insurance will have a significant impact on directors' behavior and decision-making, thereby affecting enterprise resource allocation and enterprise innovation. D&O Insurance improves corporate governance through both External Oversight [79] and Signaling [80, 81]. Ling Shixian and Bai Ruifeng [82] empirically tested the impact of directors' liability insurance on enterprise innovation and the path of its governance function. Hu Guoliu et al. [83] empirically demonstrated that purchasing D&O insurance can help strengthen the promotion of small and medium-sized investor protection on technological innovation of enterprises. Fang Junxiong and Qin Xuan [84] found a significant positive correlation between D&O insurance and company innovation. Li Conggang and Xu Rong [85] empirically found that the introduction of D&O insurance significantly improved the innovation output and efficiency of enterprises, consistent with the incentive effect hypothesis [86]. Hu Guoliu et al. [87] empirically examined the impact of D&O insurance on enterprise independent innovation from the perspective of manager risk tolerance [88]. Xia Tongshui and Zang Xiaoling [89] empirically investigated the impact of D&O insurance on firms' technological innovation and the moderating role of equity structure in it. Zhai Shuping et al. [90] explored the impact and mechanism of D&O insurance on enterprise innovation efficiency based on the incentive and supervision hypothesis [91] and the moral hazard hypothesis. Ling Shixian and Liu Ao [92] empirically examined the impact of

D&O insurance on enterprise innovation, and examined the interaction between management compensation incentives and directors' liability insurance. Research by Wang et al. [93], Zhang Zenglian and Xu Fangyuan [94] shows that D&O insurance promotes high-quality development of enterprises by reducing agency costs and improving innovation capabilities. Fei Shen et al. [95] demonstrated that D&O insurance affects enterprise innovation through management risk appetite, management level, and incentive mechanisms. Zhao Guoyu and Liang Huiping [96] explored the role and path of director liability insurance in enterprise innovation from the perspective of External Investors. The empirical study by Zhou Donghua et al. [97] shows that the purchase of directors' liability insurance can significantly improve the level of enterprise risk taking [98] and enhance the innovation ability of enterprises. In addition, Xiao Xiaohong and Pan Ye [99] examined the impact of D&O insurance on green innovation. Gao Kai et al. [100] studied the relationship between D&O insurance and enterprise green innovation from the perspective of executive decision making and behavior.

In terms of environmental pollution liability insurance, Ning Jinhui et al. [101] demonstrated empirically that applying for environmental liability insurance [102] can promote the improvement of enterprise innovation capabilities, and it plays a positive role in enterprise innovation governance. Environmental liability insurance improves the innovation ability of enterprises by easing financing constraints. Environmental liability insurance has a more significant effect on promoting the innovation level of non-SOEs.

In terms of insurance fund holdings, research by Luong et al. [34] and Liu Dongjiao et al. [103], insurance fund shareholding promotes the efficiency of enterprise innovation by improving the quality of internal control.

5. Brief Review

(1) **In terms of empirical research on the promotion mechanism of high-level opening-up of the banking industry to the innovative development of the manufacturing industry**, currently, relevant domestic and foreign research mainly focuses on the role of opening-up of the banking industry to foreign countries in enterprise innovation and its mechanism testing, among which, there are many mechanisms testing such as financing constraint effect, cost saving effect, trade promotion effect, and industry competition effect. However, it is rare to examine mechanisms such as the correlation effect of foreign banks and the network expansion effect of foreign banks. At the same time, existing studies have focused on simple mediating effect tests, while simple regulatory effect tests and threshold effect tests are relatively rare; The tests of complex mediating effects, complex regulatory effects, and complex threshold effects are relatively rare. In addition, the perspectives of heterogeneity testing are not rich enough. To sum up, empirical research on the promotion mechanism of high-level opening-up of the banking industry on the innovative development of the manufacturing industry at home and abroad needs to be further expanded.

(2) **In terms of empirical research on the driving mechanism of high-level openness in the securities industry for the innovative development of the manufacturing industry**, at present, relevant studies at home and abroad mainly focus on the role of capital market opening and foreign direct equity participation on enterprise innovation along with its mechanism tests. Among which, there are more tests on the mechanisms of financing constraint effect, technology spillover effect, improving corporate governance effect, while the tests on the mechanisms such as stock liquidity

effect, debt structure optimization effect, innovation willingness effect, internationalization strategy effect are less frequently examined. Besides, simple intermediation effect tests are the focus of existing studies, while simple regulation effect tests and threshold effect tests are rare. Complex intermediation effect, complex regulation effect and complex threshold effect tests are rare. In addition, the perspective of heterogeneity tests is not rich enough. In summary, the empirical research on the mechanism of promoting the development of manufacturing innovation by high level of opening-up of securities industry at home and abroad needs to be further expanded.

(3) **In terms of empirical research on the promotion mechanism of high-level opening-up of the insurance industry to the innovative development of the manufacturing industry**, at present, the relevant domestic and foreign research mainly focuses on the role of the insurance industry in the host country in enterprise innovation and its mechanism testing. Among them, there are more studies on the effects of technology insurance and director and executive liability insurance, while relatively few studies are on the effects of environmental pollution liability insurance and insurance fund shareholding. At present, there are studies at home and abroad that have rarely examined the mechanism of the impact of foreign insurance on enterprise innovation, especially these mechanisms such as the technology insurance personal insurance effect, the technology insurance property insurance effect, the technology insurance cooperative development effect, the director and executive liability insurance effect, the environmental pollution liability insurance effect, and the linkage effect of foreign insurance companies. At the same time, existing studies have focused on simple mediating effect tests, while simple regulatory effect tests and threshold effect tests are relatively rare; The tests of complex mediating effects, complex regulatory effects, and complex threshold effects are relatively rare; In addition, the perspectives of heterogeneity testing are not rich enough. To sum up, empirical research on the driving mechanism of high-level opening-up of the insurance industry on the innovative development of the manufacturing industry at home and abroad has just begun.

Funding: This research was funded by the Regional Project of National Natural Science Foundation of China, grant number 71861003.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

References

- [1] Fan Y S, Yin X N. Research Group of Xilingguolemeng Central Sub-branch of the People's Bank of China. Research on the transmission mechanism of financial support promoting the manufacturing industry [J]. *Northern Finance Journal*, 2021 (02): 85-90. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.16459/j.cnki.15-1370/f.2021.02.019>.
- [2] Bian W W. Progress and Challenges of China's Financial Opening-up [J]. *Jiangsu Commercial Forum*, 2023 (01): 86-90. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.13395/j.cnki.issn.1009-0061.2023.01.008>.
- [3] Guo W, Si M H. Logic Mechanism, Experience Inspiration and Future Orientation of 70-Year Financial Opening in New China [J]. *World Economy Studies*, 2019 (10): 15-26+88+134.
- [4] Yu B. The important Reason for the Imbalance between Finance and the Real Economy Lies in the Real Economy [J]. *China Development Observation*, 2017 (05): 30-32. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1673-033X.2017.05.009>.
- [5] Brown J R, Martinsson G, Petersen B C. Law, Stock Markets, and Innovation. *The Journal of Finance*, Vol.68, No.4, 2013, pp.1517-1549. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/jofi.12040>.
- [6] Xie W M, Fang H X. Financial Development, Financing Constrains and Firms' R&D Investment [J]. *Journal of Financial Research*, 2011 (05): 171-183.

- [7] Liu F, Zheng L S. A Study on the High-level Financial Opening in China [J]. *Theoretical Exploration*, 2021, (03): 84-92. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1004-4175.2021.03.013>.
- [8] Wang F H. Building Rules to Adapt to the High-level Opening of Finance [J]. *International Finance*, 2021 (02): 27-29. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.16474/j.cnki.1673-8489-2021.02.005>.
- [9] Zhang Y Y, Lian P, Wu G, Zhao Q M, Wang X. The Path and Risk Prevention of High-Level Financial Opening [J]. *China Forex*, 2022 (13): 18-25. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.13539/j.cnki.11-5475/f.2022.13.022>.
- [10] Zhou Q L, Zhu Y J. Realizing High-level Opening of the Financial Industry to the Outside World, Promoting Quality Optimization of the Financial Supply System [J]. *Finance Economy*, 2019 (23): 13-15. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.14057/j.cnki.cn43-1156/f.2019.23.003>.
- [11] Cornaggia J, Mao Y, Tian X, Wolfed B. Does Banking Competition Affect Innovation? [J]. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 2015, 115(1): 189-209. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2014.09.001>.
- [12] Tsai Y J, Chen Y, Lin C, Hung J. The Effect of Banking System Reform on Investment-Cash Flow Sensitivity: Evidence from China [J]. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 2014, 46(01): 166-176. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2014.04.022>.
- [13] Bertrand M, Schoar A, Thesmar D. Bank Deregulation and Industry Structure: Evidence from The French Banking Reforms of 1985 [J]. *Journal of Finance*, 2007, 62(02): 597-628. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.2007.01218.x>.
- [14] Jian Z, Gan C H, Yu D F. Marketization of Banking System, Allocation of Credit and Industry Restructuring. [J]. *Economic Research Journal*, 2013, 48(05): 112-127.
- [15] Bai J, Meng Q X, Shen Y Y. Does Foreign Bank Entry Affect Domestic Enterprise Innovation? [J]. *Accounting Research*, 2018 (11): 50-55. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1003-2886.2018.11.007>.
- [16] Claessens S, Demirgüç-Kunt A, Huizinga H. How Does Foreign Entry Affect Domestic Banking Markets? [J]. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 2001, 25(05): 891-911. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4266\(00\)00102-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4266(00)00102-3).
- [17] Akbar Y H, McBride J B. Multinational Enterprise Strategy, Foreign Direct Investment and Economic Development: The Case of the Hungarian Banking Industry [J]. *Journal of World Business*, 2004, 39(01): 89-105. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2003.08.001>.
- [18] Laeven L, Levine R, Michalopoulos S. Financial Innovation and Endogenous Growth [J]. *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, 2015, 24(01): 1-24. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfi.2014.04.001>.
- [19] Li J Q, Xie F. May Foreign Banks Entry Promote Corporate Innovation? — Analysis Based on Cross-Country Evidence [J]. *Studies of International Finance*, 2020 (01): 54-64.
- [20] Acharya V, Xu Z. Financial Dependence and Innovation: The Case of Public versus Private Firms [J]. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 2017, 124(02): 223-243. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2016.02.010>.
- [21] Blanco I, Wehrheim D. The Bright Side of Financial Derivatives: Options Trading and Firm Innovation [J]. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 2017, 125(01): 99-119. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2017.04.004>.
- [22] Zhu Z J, Huang X H, Yu X. Financial Sector Liberalization and Promotion of Chinese Manufacturing Firms' Competitiveness [J]. *The Journal of Quantitative & Technical Economics*, 2018, 35 (03): 114-131.
- [23] Aghion P, Bloom N, Blundell R, Griffith R, Howitt P. Competition and Innovation: An Inverted-U Relationship [J]. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 2005, 120(02): 701-728. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/120.2.701>.
- [24] Impullitti G, Licandro O. Trade, Firm Selection and Innovation: The Competition Channel [J]. *Economic Journal*, 2018, 128(608): 189-229. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecoj.12466>.
- [25] Aghion P, Bergeaud A, Lequien M, Melitz M J. The Heterogeneous Impact of Market Size on Innovation: Evidence from French Firm-Level Exports [R]. *Harvard University Working Paper*, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1162/rest_a_01199.
- [26] Zhu Z J, Chen H Y, Wang F. Opening of Banking Industry and the Solution to the Innovation Trap of Chinese Firms [J]. *China Industrial Economics*, 2020 (10): 175-192. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1006-480X.2020.10.010>.
- [27] Sheng B, Wang H. Financial Openness, Independent Innovation, and Firms' Export Products Quality: Analysis on Experience of Foreign Banks Entering China [J]. *Finance and Trade Research*, 2021, 32 (11): 1-15.
- [28] Yu X L, Ma H J. Research on the Absorption of International Knowledge Spillover and the Improvement of Innovation Ability of Foreign Banks [J]. *New Finance*, 2022 (02): 38-48.
- [29] Eichengreen B, Gullapalli R, Panizza U. Capital account liberalization, financial development and industry growth: A synthetic view [J]. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 2011, 30(06): 1090-1106. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jimonfin.2011.06.007>.

- [30] Larrain M, Stumpner S. Capital Account Liberalization and Aggregate Productivity: The Role of Firm Capital Allocation [J]. *The Journal of Finance*, 2017, 72(04): 1825-1858. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/jofi.12497>.
- [31] Xie F, Guo N. The Effect of Capital Account Sub-Items Opening on Corporate Innovation? Evidence from Cross-country Data [J]. *Journal of Central South University of Economics and Law*, 2019 (05): 124-133.
- [32] Moshirian F, Tian X, Zhang B, et al. Stock market liberalization and innovation [J]. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 2021, 139(03): 985-1014. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2020.08.018>.
- [33] Xie F. Does Stock Market Liberalization Promote Corporate Innovation? Cross-Country Evidence [J]. *Nankai Economic Studies*, 2022 (07): 145-161.
- [34] Luong H, Moshirian F, Nguyen L, Tian X, Zhang B. How Do Foreign Institutional Investors Enhance Firm Innovation? [J]. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 2017, 52(04): 1449-1490. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022109017000497>.
- [35] Bena J, et al. Are foreign investors locusts? The long-term effects of foreign institutional ownership [J]. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 2017, 126(01): 122-146. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2017.07.005>.
- [36] Jiang W J. Research on Technology Spillover Effect of QFII Based on Perspective of Financial Opening [J]. *Zhejiang Finance*, 2018 (03): 55-61. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1674-5477.2018.02.005>.
- [37] Jiang S Q, Chen Y Y. Institution Environment, Foreign Equity Participation and Enterprise innovation: An Empirical Study Based on qualified foreign Institutional investors' Shareholding [J]. *Friends of Accounting*, 2020 (21): 50-57. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1004-5937.2020.21.008>.
- [38] Liu Y, Meng H T. Research on the Impact of Qualified Foreign Institutional Investors on Enterprise Innovation Investment—Based on the Perspective of Financing Constraints and Environmental Uncertainty [J] *Industrial Economic Review*, 2022, 13(02): 39-52.
- [39] Ma Y Y, Yu M M, Cheng J J. Does Stock Market Liberalization Cause Firms' R&D Investment Boom? — Evidence From the Sample of Mainland-Hong Kong Stock Connect Program [J]. *Collected Essays on Finance and Economics*, 2019 (08): 39-52.
- [40] Xu F. Research on Bank Credit and Enterprise Innovation Dilemma [J]. *China Industrial Economics*, 2019 (01): 119-136.
- [41] Gillan S L, Starks L T. Corporate governance proposals and shareholder activism: the role of institutional investors [J]. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 2000, 57(02): 275-305. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X\(00\)00058-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X(00)00058-1).
- [42] Feng R Y, Wen J. Does Shanghai-Hong Kong Stock Connect enhance technological innovation of state-owned enterprises in China? [J]. *Industrial Economics Research*, 2019 (04): 88-100.
- [43] Di Q. Research on the SH-SZ-HK Stock Connect Mechanism and Business Innovation: Empirical Evidences Based on Multi-Phase DID [J]. *Contemporary Finance & Economics*, 2020 (02): 76-88.
- [44] Ang J S, Cheng Y, Wu C. Does Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights Matter in China? Evidence From Financing and Investment Choices in The High-Tech Industry [J]. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 2014, 96(02): 332-348. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1162/REST_a_00372.
- [45] Lin Z, Yi Z H. Does the Hong Kong-Shanghai Stock Connect Spur Corporate Innovation? [J]. *Business and Management Journal*, 2020, 42(02): 40-57.
- [46] Wright P, et al. Impact of Corporate Insider, Blockholder, and Institutional Equity Ownership on Firm Risk Taking [J]. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 1996, 39(02): 441-463. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5465/256787>.
- [47] Manso G. Motivating Innovation [J]. *The Journal of Finance*, 2011, 66(05): 1823-1860. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.2011.01688.x>.
- [48] Huang J Q, Wang Y Q, Deng Y L, Chen Y S. Does Stock Market Liberalization Improve Corporate Innovation Output? A Quasi-Experiment of China's Mainland-Hong Kong Stock Connect [J]. *Accounting and Economics Research*, 2020, 34(01): 21-37.
- [49] Jia L H, Xiao X. Stock Market Liberalization and Corporate High-Quality Development: From the Perspective of Agency Cost and Innovation Incentive [J]. *Modern Economic Research*, 2021 (12): 105-115+132.
- [50] Sun Z Y, Qi B L. Capital Market Liberalization and Corporate Innovation — A Quasi-Natural Experiment from “Shanghai-Hong Kong” and “Shenzhen-Hong Kong” Stock Connect [J]. *Science & Technology Progress and Policy*, 2021, 38(08): 109-117.
- [51] Liu Y, Zang R H. Capital Market Opening and Enterprise Innovation Based on the Empirical Data of Shanghai-Hong Kong Stock Connect [J]. *Journal of Beijing Technology and Business University (Social Science Edition)*, 2021, 36(03): 79-90.

- [52] Wu Y H, Dong L. Impact of Capital Market Opening on Technological Innovation of China's Listed Manufacturing Enterprises: Based on Mediation Effect of Corporate Governance [J]. *Science and Technology Management Research*, 2022, 42(12): 9-16. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1000-7695.2022.12.002>.
- [53] Ferreira M A, Matos P. The colors of investors' money: The role of institutional investors around the world [J]. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 2007, 88(03): 499-533. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2007.07.003>.
- [54] Aggarwal R, et al. Does governance travel around the world? Evidence from institutional investors [J]. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 2010, 100(01): 154-181. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2010.10.018>.
- [55] Li C M, Li Y F, Dong Z Y. Capital market opening and industrial policy effectiveness: based on the perspective of enterprise innovation [J]. *Industrial Economics Research*, 2022 (03): 1-14+40.
- [56] Lv X J, Hu H X, Wang H J. Capital Market Liberalization and Enterprise Innovation Quality Based on the Perspective of "Innovation Trap" of Chinese Enterprises Emphasizing Quantity over Quality [J]. *Journal of Systems & Management*, 2002, 31(05): 1018-1027.
- [57] Yang D W. Empirical Study on the Impact of Ownership Structure on Enterprise Technological Innovation: Based on the Analysis of Listed Companies on China's Small and Medium Sized Board [J]. *Public Finance Research*, 2011 (08): 56-60.
- [58] Guadalupe M, Kuzmina O, Thomas C. Innovation and Foreign Ownership [J]. *American Economic Review*, 2012, 102: 3594-3627.
- [59] Boubakri N, Cosset J, Saffar W. The Role of State and Foreign Owners in Corporate Risk-Taking: Evidence from Privatization [J]. *Journal of Finance and Economics*, 2013, 108: 641-658. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2012.12.007>.
- [60] Li W G, Yu M H. Ownership Structure and Enterprise Innovation of Privatized enterprises [J]. *Management World*, 2015 (04): 112-125.
- [61] Choi S B, Lee S H, Williams C. Ownership and firm innovation in a transition economy: Evidence from China [J]. *Research Policy*, 2011, 40(3): 441-452. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2011.01.004>.
- [62] Xi Z, Song T B, Chen W H, Zhu Z J. How Foreign Shareholdings Influence Innovation Performance? -- Mediating Role of Internationalization Strategy and Moderating Role of a Firm's Behavior [J]. *Systems Engineering*, 2020, 38 (03): 112-122.
- [63] Di L Y, Bu D L, Shi X Y. Industrial policy coordination between central and local governments, foreign equity participation, and the R&D investment level of state-owned companies. [J]. *Industrial Economics Research*, 2021 (05):83-96.
- [64] Sun H T. Intellectual Property Insurance in the United States: The Amulet of Enterprise Technological Innovation [J]. *Science & Technology Progress and Policy*, 2006 (09): 16-18. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1001-7348.2006.09.005>.
- [65] Reyes J A. Patents and Insurance: Who Will Pay for Infringement? [J]. *Boston University Journal of Science and Technology Law*, 1995, 3: 21.
- [66] Stueber T J. Insurance Coverage for Patent Infringement [J]. *William Mitchell Law Review*, 1991, 17: 1080-1082.
- [67] Xu X H. Problems and Solutions of the Scientific and Technological Innovation Supporting the Independent Innovation of Enterprises [J]. *Scientific Management Research*, 2011, 29 (04): 109-112.
- [68] Wang X L. Research on Countermeasures of Technological Insurance in Support of Independence Innovations of Enterprises -- Setting Hebei Province as an Example [J]. *Technoeconomics & Management Research*, 2011 (08): 58-61. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1004-292X.2011.08.014>.
- [69] Ma Y X. Research on the Impact of the Insurance Growth on the Technological Innovation of Chinese Industrial Enterprises [J]. *Economy and Management*, 2011, 25 (10): 37-41.
- [70] Ge Y, Wang Y X. Resolve the Risk of High-tech Enterprises in Technological Innovation with Science Technology Insurance [J]. *Scientific Management Research*, 2012, 30(01): 105-108. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1004-115X.2012.01.026>.
- [71] Wang L, Gu M D. Research on the insurance support model for technological innovation in small and medium-sized enterprises: a theoretical framework [J]. *Modern Management Science*, 2013 (07): 9-11+18. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1007-368X.2013.07.003>.
- [72] Tiller S E, Bedigian B. Intellectual property and technological insurance coverage [J]. *Maryland Bar Journal*, 2001, 34: 15-18.

- [73] Xue W X, Liu Q, Liu J. Study on the Effect of Technological Insurance on Technological Enterprises' Innovation and Profit Ability [J]. *Science & Technology Progress and Policy*, 2013, 30(24): 95-99. DOI: <https://doi.org/doi:10.6049/kjbydc.2013090623>.
- [74] Shen F, Zhou Y, Liu J F(A). Patent Enforcement Insurance, Corporate Innovation and Operating Performance [J]. *Journal of Industrial Technological Economics*, 2021, 40(04): 119-128. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1004-910X.2021.04.014>.
- [75] Pandit S, Wasley C E, Zach T. The Effect of Research and Development (R&D) Inputs and Outputs on the Relation between the Uncertainty of Future Operating Performance and R&D Expenditures [J]. *Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance*, 2011, 26(01): 121-144. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0148558X1140058>.
- [76] Ciftci M, Cready W M. Scale effects of R&D as reflected in earnings and returns [J]. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 2011, 52(01): 62-80. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2011.02.003>.
- [77] Qiu Y D. Can patent insurance motivate enterprises to innovate? Based on the perspective of extensive margin and intensive margin [J]. *Industrial Economics Research*, 2022 (02): 114-127.
- [78] Jensen M. The modern industrial revolution, exit and the failure of internal control systems [J]. *The Journal of Finance*, 1993, 48(03): 831-880. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1993.tb04022.x>.
- [79] Yuan R L, Sun J, Cao F. Directors' and officers' liability insurance and stock price crash risk [J]. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 2016, 37: 173-192. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2015.12.015>.
- [80] Gupta M, Prakash P. Information Embedded in Directors and Officers Insurance Purchases [J]. *The Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance - Issues and Practice*, 2012, 37(03): 429-451.
- [81] Boyer M M, Stern L H. Is Corporate Governance Risk Valued? Evidence from Directors' and Officers' Insurance [J]. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 2012, 18(2): 349-372. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2011.12.005>.
- [82] Ling S X, Bai R F. Directors' Liability Insurance, Board Governance and Corporate Innovation [J]. *Science & Technology Progress and Policy*, 2018, 35(10): 100-106.
- [83] Hu G L, Zhao Y, Hu J. Directors' and Officers' Liability Insurance, Tolerance of Failure and Enterprise Independent Innovation [J]. *Management World*, 2019, 35(08): 121-135. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.19744/j.cnki.11-1235/f.2019.0110>.
- [84] Fang J X, Qin X. The Relief of Executive Professional Risk and Improvement of Innovation Decision — — Based on the Evidence of D&O Insurance [J]. *Insurance Research*, 2018 (11): 54-70.
- [85] Li C G, Xu R. D&O Insurance, Corporate Governance and Corporate Innovation: Evidence from Chinese A-Share Listed Firms [J]. *Financial Regulation Research*, 2019 (06): 85-102. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.2095-3291.2019.06.006>.
- [86] Li J T, Tang Y. CEO Hubris and Firm Risk Taking in China: The Moderating Role of Managerial Discretion [J]. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 2010, 53(01): 45-68. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2010.48036912>.
- [87] Hu G L, Zhang X, Zeng C H. Directors' and Officers' Liability Insurance, Ordinary Investor Protection and Enterprise Technological Innovation [J]. *Soft Science*, 2018, 32(07): 89-92+138.
- [88] Tian X, Wand T Y. Tolerance for Failure and Corporate Innovation [J]. *Review of Financial Studies*, 2014, 27: 211-255. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhr130>.
- [89] Xia T S, Zang X L. Director and Executive Liability Insurance, Equity Structure, and Enterprise Technology Innovation [J]. *Finance and Accounting Monthly*, 2019 (22): 168-176.
- [90] Zhai S P, Zhang X L, Wang M. Directors' and Officers' Liability Insurance and Corporate Innovation Efficiency — — "Make the Best Use of the Situation" or "Aggravate a Complicated Situation"? [J]. *Journal of Business Economics*, 2020 (04): 52-67.
- [91] O'Sullivan N. Insuring the Agents: The Role of Directors' and Officers' Insurance in Corporate Governance [J]. *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*, 1997, 64(03): 545-556. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/253764>.
- [92] Ling S X, Liu A. Directors' and Officers' Liability Insurance, Management Incentive and Corporate Innovation — — An Empirical Study Based on the Data of A-Share Listed Companies [J]. *Financial Regulation Research*, 2020 (09): 50-65.
- [93] Wang J, Zhang J, Huang H, et al. Directors' and officers' liability Insurance and Firm Innovation [J]. *Economic Modelling*, 2020, 89: 414-426. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2019.11.011>.
- [94] Zhang Z L, Xu F Y. Directors' and Executives' Liability Insurance and High-quality Enterprise Development: Based on the Perspective of Agency Cost and Innovation Incentive [J]. *East China Economic Management*, 2021, 35 (02): 78-86.

- [95] Shen F, Zhou Y, Liu J F (B). Does Directors' and Officers' Liability Insurance Innovate or Suppress Enterprise Innovation [J]. *Journal of Technology Economics*, 2021, 40(05): 82-92. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1002-980X.2021.05.009>.
- [96] Zhao G Y, Liang H P. Does director and executive liability insurance promote enterprise innovation—From the perspective of credit rent-seeking and financing constraints [J]. *Foreign Economics and Management*, 2022, 44(03): 103-117.
- [97] Zhou D H, Luo S Z, Zhao Y J. Directors' and officers' liability insurance and enterprise innovation [J]. *Scientific Research Management*, 2022, 43(04): 201-208.
- [98] Core J E. On the Corporate Demand for Directors' and Officers' Insurance [J]. *Journal of Risk & Insurance*, 1997, 64(01): 63-87. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/253912>.
- [99] Xiao X H, Pan Y. Directors' and Officers' Liability Insurance and Enterprise Green Innovation: Incentive Tools or Self-interested Means? [J]. *Science & Technology Progress and Policy*, 2022, 39(13): 94-104. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.6049/kjbydc.2020120115>.
- [100] Gao K, Zhao H Q, Wang L. Directors' and Officers' Liability Insurance and Green Innovation of Manufacturing Enterprises: An Analysis from the Mediating Effect of Internal Control [J]. *East China Economic Management*, 2022, 36(02): 119-128.
- [101] Ning J H, Jin Y, Zhang Y B. Environmental Pollution Liability Insurance and Enterprise Innovation: Promote or Suppress? [J]. *Science & Technology Progress and Policy*, 2019, 36 (17): 90-97. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.6049/kjbydc.2019010455>.
- [102] Misheva, Irena. Environmental insurance: a part of risk of management in enterprises utilizing hazardous production [J]. *Economics*, 2016, 21(02): 60-84.
- [103] Liu D J, Liu K, Zhuang P T. Insurance Funds Shareholding and Corporate Innovation Efficiency--Empirical Evidence from A-share Listed Companies [J]. *Collected Essays on Finance and Economics*, 2021 (08): 59-68.



Copyright © 2023 by the authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).